COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| THE INVESTIGATION AND REVIEW OF |) | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------|
| USTOMER-OWNED, COIN OPERATED | Ì | ADMINISTRATIVE |
| TELEPHONE REGULATION |) | CASE NO. 337 |

O R D E R

On November 26, 1990, the Commission initiated this investigation to determine whether persons who own, control, operate, or manage customer-owned, coin-operated telephones ("COCOTS") or payphones are utilities as defined by KRS 278.010. By that Order, the Commission provided an opportunity for interested persons to file written comments addressing the issues of whether COCOTs met the statutory definition of a utility and if so, whether they should be considered dominant or non-dominant carriers and what the appropriate regulatory scheme should be.

In January of 1991 the Commission received written comments from the Attorney General of the Commonwealth, by and through his Utility and Rate Intervention Division; Intellicall, Inc.; Coin Phone Management Company; AmeriCall Dial-O Services, Inc.; South Central Bell Telephone Company; Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company; and GTE South Incorporated.

Having reviewed these written comments, the Commission believes that no additional information is needed at this time prior to submission of this proceeding for determination concerning the utility status of COCOT providers. Accordingly,

the Commission will enter a final Order in this proceeding without a hearing or further comments unless a request for hearing or additional comments are received by the Commission within 20 days of the date of this Order.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 9th day of April, 1991.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

hairman

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

Executive Director